

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/062,785	(01/31/2002	Robert H. Folk II	D2737 3363	
27774	7590	09/29/2003			
MAYER, F	ORTKO	RT & WILLIAMS	EXAMINER		
251 NORTH AVENUE WEST 2ND FLOOR				NGUYEN, ЛММҮ H	
WESTFIELD, NJ 0709		090		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2673	3
				DATE MAILED: 09/29/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		I Amelia di La Na	10.11.	
	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Ì.		10/062,785	FOLK, ROBERT H.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jimmy H. Nguyen	2673	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address	
THE - External after of the control	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. He period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed vs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31.	January 2002 .		
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3)□ Disposit	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under tion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application	n.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Applicat	tion Papers			
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	•		
💳	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	* * *	, ,	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.	
40)	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	• •		
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.		
=	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).	
a)) All b) Some * c) None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	• •		
* ;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	· ·		
_ 6	a) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional application has been rec	eived.	
Attachmer				
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u>	5) Notice of Informal I	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/062,785 Page 2

Art Unit: 2673

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is made in response to applicant's papers filed on 01/31/2002. Claims
 1-23 are currently pending in the application. An action follows below:

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the feature, "a plurality of remote display interfaces arranged in a peer-to-peer network" of claim 3, "a Personal Video Recorder" of claim 9 and "a communication module adapted to transmit said graphical data received by said input module", lines 4-5 of claim 11, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 12-22 are objected to because of the following informalities: line 1, "9" should be changed to -- 11--, so as to be consistent with the limitations in claim 11, e.g., input module of claim 13, communication module of claim 15, and etc., only being recited in independent claim 11, rather than in claim 9. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Page 3

Art Unit: 2673

5. Claims 11-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Regarding to claims above, the disclosure, when filed, does not contain sufficient information regarding to the claimed features, "a communication module adapted to transmit said graphical data received by said input module" and "a remote server operatively connected to the communication module for receiving the graphical data", lines 4-7 of independent claim 11 as to enable one skilled in the pertinent art to make and use the claimed invention. The disclosure, specifically fig. 3 and page 6, lines 10-11, discloses "a server interface 62 receives and processes the graphic data from server 102 via a communication module 134". In other words, in contrast to the invention of claim 11, the above mentioned disclosure expressly teaches the communication module (134) adapted to receive the graphical data from the server 102 and to transmit the graphical data to the server interface 62. Furthermore, since there is no connection between the communication module (134) and the input module (76), how the communication module (134) transmits the graphical data to the input module (76).

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2673

As per claim above, it is not clear what the Applicant means "said instruction from said input device" (see line 6 of claim 1), or "said instruction from said remote server" (see line 10 of claim 1).

8. It is noted Applicant that due to the rejections under 35 USC 112 to claims 1-22, the following art rejections to these claims are based as best understood by the Examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 1, 2 and 4-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sullivan et al. (USPN: 6,593,973 B1), hereinafter Sullivan, and further in view of Jambhekar et al. (USPN: 5,848,356), hereinafter Jambhekar.

As per claims 1, 11 and 23, Sullivan discloses a device and an associate method for incorporating a graphic with a received video broadcast displayed on a display device, the device comprising a remote server (a network 118) for providing a graphic data (a superimposed video signal, col. 3, lines 1-6) to a communication module (a network adapter or modem, col. 2, line 39) and a display device (a display device comprising elements 122, 124, 126, 128, 202, 20, 227 and 228, see fig. 1) adapted to display a broadcast video received from a CATV (110) or a satellite (112) and the graphic data from the remote server, and comprising a display interface (a display interface comprising elements 122, 124, 126, 202, 20, 227 and 228, see fig. 1) for overlaying the graphic data on the received broadcast video (fig. 1, col. 2, line 51 through col. 3,

Art Unit: 2673

line 6). Accordingly, Sullivan discloses all the limitations of claims 1 and 11 except for an input device adapted to receive, to store an instruction corresponding to a graphic data and to transmit graphic data to a remote server.

However, Jambhekar expressly teaches an input device (a radio communication 103, fig. 1) adapted to receive and to store an instruction corresponding to a graphic data and to transmit graphic data to a remote server (a remote transceiver 101) (figs. 10s). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide an input device in the device of Sullivan, in view of the teaching in the Jambhekar, because this would provide a user an easier way to compose and to transmit his message to others, as taught by Jambhekar (col. 8, line 60 through col. 9, line 5).

Regarding to claims 2 and 12, as noting in fig. 10, Jambhekar further teaches the instruction including destination address information (see step 1030 and 1032) and the step of transmitting the graphic data to a remote server, in order to transmit the graphic data (an email or a fax or a message) to the selected recipient only.

Regarding to claims 4 and 13, as noting in fig. 10C, Jambhekar further teaches the input device comprising a stylus and a touch screen device.

Regarding to claims 5 and 15, as noting in fig. 1, Jambhekar further teaches the input device being a wireless handheld communicating device.

Regarding to claims 6 and 16, Sullivan further teaches the remote server (118) comprising an Internet server (col. 5, lines 7-8).

Art Unit: 2673

è,

Regarding to claims 7 and 14, Jambhekar further teaches that the remote server (101) can be considered as an addressable set-top box for directly transmit the user instruction from the input device (103) to selected recipient (fig. 1).

Regarding to claims 8 and 20, Sullivan's the display interface can be considered as a settop box adapted to overlay graphics images on a received video broadcast displayed on a display device (fig. 1, col. 2, line 51 through col. 3, line 6).

Regarding to claims 9 and 22, Sullivan's remote server (118) and display interface can considerably comprises a personal video recorder adapted to receive and to overlay the graphical data on the broadcast video (fig. 1 and col. 2, line 32 though col. 3, line 6).

Regarding to claim 10, Sullivan further teaches the display device comprising a television in order to receive a television signal via a CATV (110) (fig. 1).

Regarding to claims 17 and 19, Sullivan further teaches the communication module comprising a modem for receiving the data from the network (col. 2, line 41).

Regarding to claim 18, Sullivan further teaches the remote server comprising a computer (col. 4, lines 27-31).

Regarding to claim 21, Jambhekar further teaches the graphical data comprising text (fig. 10C).

11. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sullivan in view of Jambhekar as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Dailey (USPN: 5,642,350).

Regarding to claim 3, as discussed above, Sullivan teaches a display interface, but does not expressly teach a plurality of remote display interfaces arranged in a peer-to-peer network.

Art Unit: 2673

Accordingly, Sullivan in view of Jambhekar discloses all the limitations of claim 3 except for a plurality of remote display interfaces arranged in a peer-to-peer network.

Page 7

However, Dailey expressly teaches that a plurality of remote devices arranged in a peer-to-peer network to permit every device on the network to initiate as well as receive messages from other devices on the network is well-known to those of ordinary skill in the art (col. 2, lines 14-17). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide a plurality of remote display interfaces arranged in a peer-to-peer network in the device of Sullivan because this would permit every device on the network to initiate as well as receive messages from other devices on the network, as taught by Dailey (col. 2, lines 14-17).

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jimmy H. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-5422. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached at (703) 305-4938.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Art Unit: 2673

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

JHN

September 20, 2003

Jimmy H. Nguyen

Page 8

Examiner

Art Unit: 2673